Stratis Health

StratisHealth

- Independent, nonprofit, Minnesota-based organization founded in 1971
 - Lead collaboration and innovation in health care quality and safety, and serve as a trusted expert in facilitating improvement for people and communities
- Work at intersection of research, policy, and practice

Rural Quality Improvement Technical Assistance

Rural Quality Improvement Technical Assistance Center (RQITA)

- Cooperative agreement awarded to Stratis Health from Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) Federal Office of Rural Health Policy (FORHP)
- Improve quality and health outcomes in rural communities through technical assistance to beneficiaries of FORHP quality initiatives
 - Flex/Medicare Beneficiary Quality Improvement Project (MBQIP)
 - Small Health Care Provider Quality Improvement Grantees (SHCPQI)

Rural Quality Improvement Technical Assistance

StratisHealth

Objectives

StratisHealth

- Explain the importance of antibiotic stewardship for critical access hospitals (CAHs) and the related MBQIP measure
- Identify the core elements of antibiotic stewardship as defined by the National Health Care Safety Network (NHSN) Annual Facility Survey
- Analyze current Georgia and Kansas CAH performance in implementing antibiotic stewardship as captured through the 2019 NHSN Annual Facility Survey (submitted in 2020)
- Review suggested strategies for implementing and enhancing antibiotic stewardship programs shared by high performing critical access hospitals

Rural Quality Improvement Technical Assistance

Acronyms

- ASP antibiotic stewardship program
- CAH critical access hospital
- CDC Centers for Disease Control & Prevention
- CMS Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- EHR electronic health record
- MBQIP Medicare Beneficiary Quality Improvement Project
- NHSN National Healthcare Safety Network





Antibiotic Stewardship in MBQIP



Goals of MBQIP

- CAHs report common set of ruralrelevant measures
- Measure and demonstrate improvement



Why Antibiotic Stewardship?

- Antibiotic use has well known unintended consequences (e.g. *Clostridioides difficile*)
- Inappropriate antibiotic use is contributing to a growing crisis of antibiotic resistance
- Antibiotic stewardship programs have been proven effective to mitigate these threats
 - Improve infection cure rates
 - Reduce C. difficile infection rates
 - Reduce adverse events from antibiotics
 - Reduce antibiotic resistance

 $Centers \ for \ Disease \ Control \ and \ Prevention, \ Implementation \ of \ Antibiotic \ Stewardship \ Core \ Elements \ at \ Small \ and \ Critical \ Access \ Hospitals - \\ \underline{https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/healthcare/pdfs/core-elements-small-critical.pdf}$

Antibiotic Stewardship in MBQIP

- Patient Safety measure
- Implement seven core elements of antibiotic stewardship
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Annual Facility Survey will be used for evaluation
- CAHs to fully implement an antibiotic stewardship program by August 31, 2022
 - Since then, CMS passed a final rule making antibiotic stewardship a condition of participation with implementation required by March 2020



Quality Data Reporting Channels for MBQIP Required Measures



*National Healthcare Safety Network †Emergency Department Transfer Communication



Rural Quality Improvement Technical Assistance

NHSN Annual Facility Survey

- Facilities must be enrolled in NHSN
 https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/enrollment/index.html
- Add Patient Safety Component
 https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/ps-analysis-resources/add-edit-psc-survey-508.pdf
- Complete annual facility survey by March 1 each year





Georgia & Kansas CAH Antibiotic Stewardship Implementation





Core Elements of Hospital Antibiotic Stewardship

- Leadership Commitment
- Accountability
- Drug Expertise
- Action
- Tracking
- Reporting
- Education





Core Elements – 2019

Nationally: 1,074 of 1,338 CAHs (80%) completed the 2019 survey Georgia: 27 of 30 CAHs (90%) completed the 2019 survey Kansas: 82 of 82 CAHs (100% completed the 2019 survey

# of Core Elements Met	% CAHs Nationally	GA CAHs	% GA CAHs	KS CAHs	% KS CAHs
0	<1%	1	3%	0	0%
1	<1%	1	3%	0	0%
2	<1%	0	0%	2	2%
3	~1%	1	3%	4	5%
4	2%	0	0%	4	5%
5	4%	0	0%	7	9%
6	8%	2	7%	9	11%
7	64%	22	73%	56	68%
Survey not completed	20%	3	10%	0	0%





Leadership

Our facility has a formal statement of support for antibiotic stewardship (e.g., a written policy or statement approved by the board).

24 GA CAHs 71 KS CAHs

Facility leadership has demonstrated a commitment to antibiotic stewardship efforts by:





Accountability

Our facility has a leader (or co-leaders) responsible for antibiotic stewardship outcomes.



Drug Expertise



Leadership, Accountability, & Drug Expertise

Core	State Level -	State Level -	Nationally
Element	Georgia	Kansas	
Leadership	25 out of 30 CAHs (83%)	81 out of 82 CAHs (99%)	1,056 out of 1,338 CAHs (79%)
Accountability	24 out of 30 CAHs (80%)	71 out of 82 CAHs (87%)	1,014 out of 1,338 CAHs (76%)
Drug	24 out of 30 CAHs	64 out of 82 CAHs	989 out of 1,338
Expertise	(80%)	(78%)	CAHs (74%)



Our facility has a policy or formal procedure for:





Action Cont'd

Providers have access to facility- or region-specific treatment guidelines or recommendations for commonly encountered infections.

Our facility targets select diagnoses for active interventions to optimize antibiotic use (e.g., intervening on duration of therapy for patients with communityacquired pneumonia according to clinical response)

Core	State Level -	State Level -	Nationally
Element	Georgia	Kansas	
Action	26 out of 30 CAHs (87%)	80 out of 82 CAHs (98%)	1,055 out of 1,338 CAHs (79%)

21 GA CAHs

22 GA CAHs

76 KS CAHs

43 KS CAHs



Tracking

Our facility has a policy or formal procedure for required documentation of indication for antibiotic orders and our stewardship team monitors adherence to that policy or formal procedure.

Providers have access to facility- or region-specific treatment guidelines or recommendations for commonly encountered infections and our stewardship team monitors adherence to those guidelines or recommendations.

Our stewardship team monitors:			
0	Antibiotic resistance patterns		JI OA CAIIS
0	Antibiotic use in days of therapy (DOT) per 1000 patient days or days present, at least quarterly	14 GA CAHs	32 GA CAHs
0	Antibiotic use in defined daily doses (DDD) per 1000 patient days, at least quarterly	4 GA CAHs	6 GA CAHs
0	Antibiotic expenditures (i.e., purchasing costs), at least quarterly	11 GA CAHs	14 GA CAHs

43 GA CAHs

51 GA CAHs

16 GA CAHs

17 GA CAHs



Reporting

Our facility has a policy or formal procedure for the stewardship team to review courses of therapy for specific antibiotic agents and provide real-time feedback and recommendations to the treatment team (i.e., prospective audit and feedback)

If antibiotic use in DOT, DDD, or some other means of monitoring are selected, our stewardship team provides individual-, unit-, or service-specific reports on antibiotic use to prescribers, at least annually.

Our stewardship team provides the following updates or reports, at least annually:

- Updates to facility leadership on antibiotic use and stewardship efforts
- Outcomes for antibiotic stewardship interventions to staff







Tracking & Reporting

Core	State Level -	State Level -	Nationally
Elements	Georgia	Kansas	
Tracking	25 out of 30 CAHs	78 out of 82 CAHs	1,024 out of 1,338
	(83%)	(95%)	CAHs (77%)
Reporting	24 out of 30 CAHs	68 out of 82 CAHs	960 out of 1,338 CAHs
	(80%)	(83%)	(72%)



Education

Which of the following groups receive education on appropriate antibiotic use at least annually? (Check all that apply)

0	Prescribers	18 GA CAHs	60 KS CAHs
0	Nursing staff	11 GA CAHs	45 KS CAHs
0	Pharmacists	20 GA CAHs	50 KS CAHs

Core	State Level -	State Level -	Nationally
Element	Georgia	Kansas	
Education	22 out of 30 CAHs (73%)	71 out of 82 CAHs (87%)	960 out of 1,338 CAHs (72%)





Suggested Strategies from High Performing CAHs





•23

Focus Groups Methodology

- Stratified hospitals into two groups:
 - Independent

StratisHealth

- Part of a health system
- Identified high performers based on 2017 NHSN Annual Facility Survey Data
- Ensuring national geographic distribution, utilized a random sampling method to identify 30 independent CAHs and 30 CAHs that are part of health system to invite to participate

Rural Quality Improvement Technical Assistance

High Performing CAHs

- Implemented all 7 Core Elements as reported in the 2017 Annual Facility Survey
- Answered "Yes" to at least four of the five Action questions
- Answered "Yes" to all three Tracking questions



Focus Group Breakdown

- Four two-hour long focus groups
 - Two with independent CAHs
 - Two with CAHs that are part of a health system
- Sent questions in advance and encouraged inviting or getting insights from team members
- Sent follow-up questions to all participants



7 Core Elements



Leadership & Accountability

- Many focus group participants identified leadership as one of the easiest elements to meet
- Driving factors:
 - Joint Commission Standards
 - CMS Proposed Rule
 - Health system initiatives
- Roughly half of focus group participants indicated salary support for dedicated time for antibiotic stewardship leadership activities on the 2017 NHSN Annual Facility Survey (compared to 26% nationally)



Drug Expertise

- 29 out of 34 participating hospitals had pharmacists on site
- Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists (SIDP) certification
- Some identified hurdles with after hour coverage
 - Tele-pharmacy (video-phones, Zoom, etc.)
 - Remote verification through contract services
 - Limited formulary



Facility-specific treatment recommendations

- Biggest barriers
 - Who will be involved in making the decision
 - Low volumes and limited resources
- Most focus group participants are using facility specific-antibiogram updated at least annually
 - Work with health system affiliates, nearby universities, other partners
- Use empiric guidelines
- Leverage EHR to drive behavior

Prospective audit and feedback

- Biggest barriers
 - Determining who will conduct the audit; resources
 - Clinician buy-in
- Most focus group participants identified a pharmacist at the CAH or infectious disease physician at the health system as responsible for audits
- Determining what to share and when will depend on the culture of the team
 - Individualized data shared one-on-one
 - Aggregate data shared with the team
 - Physician-specific un-blinded data



Prior authorization for specific antibiotic agents

- Biggest barriers
 - Lack of 24-hour pharmacy coverage
 - Clinician buy-in
- Most focus group participants have pharmacy on-site during the day and after hours coverage through contract or a health system affiliate site
- Limited formulary
- EHR workflows drive recommended treatment



Documentation of indication for all antibiotics

- Biggest barriers
 - Lack of EHR functionality
 - Clinician buy-in
- Most focus group participants are leveraging the EHR to assist with this, in many cases making it a requirement for ordering
- Others using open notes and manual audits
- Some tie adherence to clinician performance reviews



Action Antibiotic time out

- Biggest barriers
 - Lack of EHR functionality to support activity
 - Documentation
- Re: timing most focus group participants noted that it usually takes more than 48 hours to get culture results
- Alternative or additional options for making it a standard part of workflow:
 - Pharmacy sends nursing a report daily of how long patients have been on antibiotics
 - Review appropriateness of antibiotics during daily rounds
 - Pharmacy manually generates a note on the chart reminding clinician to complete a time out



Tracking & Reporting

- Most focus group participants indicated they are sharing tracking data at medical staff meetings
- Many are using scorecards or dashboards to convey performance data
- Examples of additional metrics:
 - Days of therapy/1000 patients
 - Immune dosing
 - Frequency of use for specific antibiotics
 - Orders accepted/rejected during prior authorization process
 - IV to PO conversion
- Leverage knowledge from tracking (and EHR!) to drive workflow



Education

- Many focus group participants identified this as a major barrier – easy to tick the box, but difficult to make it meaningful and garner clinician buy-in
- Get it on the agenda! Medical staff and nursing meetings
- Learning management system
- Webinars, newsletters, orientation/on-boarding
- Identify physician and nursing champions





14 15 16 17





Resources









Key Resources

 Antibiotic Stewardship Strategies from High Performing Critical Access Hospitals

https://www.ruralcenter.org/resource-library/antibiotic-stewardship-implementationsuggested-strategies-from-high-performing

• MBQIP Monthly CAH Antibiotic Stewardship Profiles (November and December 2019, February 2020)

https://www.ruralcenter.org/tasc/mbqip/mbqip-monthly

- National Healthcare Safety Network Annual Survey Resources
 <u>https://www.ruralcenter.org/resource-library/national-healthcare-safety-network-annual-survey-resources</u>
- Implementation of Antibiotic Stewardship Core Elements at Small and Critical Access Hospitals

https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/healthcare/implementation/core-elements-smallcritical.html

• Jump Start Stewardship Toolkit: Implementing Antimicrobial Stewardship in a Small, Rural Hospital

https://www.ruralcenter.org/resource-library/jump-start-stewardship-toolkit-implementingantimicrobial-stewardship-in-a-small



Discussion

- What have been the biggest barriers to antibiotic stewardship in your hospitals?
- What strategies gathered from the focus groups will you take back with you?
- What are different strategies that have been successful at your hospital?





Sarah Brinkman, Program Manager

952-853-8552 or 877-787-2847

sbrinkman@stratishealth.org

www.stratishealth.org



Stratis Health is a nonprofit organization that leads collaboration and innovation in health care quality and safety, and serves as a trusted expert in facilitating improvement for people and communities.

This project is supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of an aw ard totaling \$625,000 with 0% financed with non-governmental sources. The contents are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official view of, nor an endorsement, by HRSA, HHS or the U.S. Government. (2/2021)



Rural Quality Improvement Technical Assistance